arXiv:1601.02173v1 [math.CA] 10 Jan 2016

ENDPOINT ESTIMATES FOR THE COMMUTATORS OF MULTILINEAR CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND OPERATORS WITH DINI TYPE KERNELS

ZHENGYANG LI AND QINGYING XUE

ABSTRACT. Let $T_{\vec{b}}$ and $T_{\Pi b}$ be the commutators in the j-th entry and iterated commutators of the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, respectively. It was well-known that $T_{\vec{b}}$ and $T_{\Pi b}$ were not of weak type $(1,1)$ and (H^1, L^1), but they did satisfy certain endpoint $L\log L$ type estimates. In this paper, our aim is to give more natural sharp endpoint results. We show that $T_{\vec{b}}$ and $T_{\Pi b}$ are bounded from product Hardy space $H^1\times\cdots\times H^1$ to weak $L^{\frac{1}{m},\infty}$ space, whenever the kernel satisfies a class of Dini type condition. This was done by using a key lemma given by M. Christ, a very complex decomposition of the integrand domains and splitting and estimating the commutators very carefully into several terms and cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Commutators of classical C-Z operators.** In 1976, Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [8] first introduced and studied the commutator of classical linear Calderón-Zygmund singular integrals, which was defined by

$$T_b = [b, T]f = bT(f) - T(bf).$$

The L^p boundedness of T_b was given in [8] for $1 < p < \infty$ when $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is well-known that T_b fails to be of weak type (1,1) and is not bounded from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Counterexamples were given by Pérez [19] and Paluszyński [18]. As an alternative result of the weak $(1,1)$ estimate of T_b, Pérez [19] obtained the following $L(\log L)$ type endpoint estimate:

$$|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |T_bf(x)| > \lambda\}| \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\big(1+\log^+(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda})\big)dx, \qquad \lambda > 0.$$

Moreover, alternative results of the (H^1, L^1) boundedness were also considered in the works of Alvarez [1], Pérez [19], and Liang, Ky and Yang [13], which concerned with the boundedness of T_b on the subspace of atomic Hardy Spaces, or concerned with the (H^1_w, L^1_w) boundedness of T_b if b belongs to a subspace of BMO which associated to a weight function w.

On the other hand, another more reasonable and alternative result of weak type $(1,1)$ and (H^1, L^1) estimate was given by Liu and Lu [14] in 2002. The authors

Key words and phrases. Commutators, Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator, C-Z kernel of ω type, Dini type conditions, Hardy spaces.

The authors were supported partly by NSFC (No. 11471041), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (NO. 2014kJJCA10) and NCET-13-0065.

Corresponding author: Qingying Xue Email: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn.

[14] showed that T_b is bounded from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We note that, T_b also fails to be bounded from $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < p < 1$ by the generalized interpolation theorem ([15, pp. 63]). Therefore, the $(H^1, L^{1,\infty})$ boundedness of T_b becomes a sharp endpoint estimate. Moreover, it always holds that $L(\log L)(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subsetneq H^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ if f vanishes on the unit sphere. However, there is no such inclusion relationship on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, the inverse including relationship is still not true since the following example shows that $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \nsubseteq L(\log L)(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Example 1.1. Let

$$f(x) = \frac{\chi_{[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]}}{x \log_2^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|x|}} \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0,$$

$$a_j(x) = \frac{f(x)}{f(\frac{1}{2^{j+1}})}\{\chi_{[-\frac{1}{2^j},-\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}]} + \chi_{[\frac{1}{2^{j+1}},\frac{1}{2^j}]}\} \times 2^j, \quad \lambda_j = \frac{f(\frac{1}{2^{j+1}})}{2^j}.$$

Thus, $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j(x)$, and it is easy to verify that each a_j is a $(1, \infty, 0)$-atom. Notice that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(\frac{1}{2^{j+1}})|}{2^j} \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \log_2^{1+\varepsilon} 2^{j+1}} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j+1)^{1+\varepsilon}} < \infty,$$

then we have $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Obviously, $f \notin L(\log L)(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Thus, the $(H^1, L^{1,\infty})$ boundedness and the $L \log L$ type estimate of T_b are independent in the sense that one can not cover the results of the other.

1.2. **Commutators of multilinear operators.** In recent years, the theory of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with standard kernels have been developed very quickly and a lot of works have been done. Among such achievements are the celebrated works of Coifman and Meyer [5], [6] , [7], Christ and Journé [4], Kenig and Stein [11], Grafakos and Torres [9], [10], and Lerner et al [12]. In order to state some known results, we need to introduce some definitions as follows:

Definition 1.2 (**C-Z kernel of ω type**, [16, 17])**.** Let $\omega(t)$ be a non-negative and non-decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Let $K(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)$ be a locally integrable function defined away from the diagonal $x = y_1 = \cdots = y_m$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$. Denote $(x, \vec{y}) = (x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)$, we say K is an m-linear Calderón-Zygmund kernel of ω type, if there exists a positive constants C_0 such that

$$|K(x, \vec{y})| \le \frac{C_0}{(\sum_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|)^{mn}}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$|K(x, \vec{y}) - K(x', \vec{y})| \le \frac{C_0}{(\sum_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|)^{mn}} \omega\big(\frac{|x - x'|}{\sum_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|}\big), \tag{1.2}$$

whenever $|x - x'| \le \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \le j \le m} |x - y_j|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x, y_1, \cdots, y_i, \cdots, y_m) - K(x, y_1, \cdots, y_i', \cdots, y_m)| \\ \le \frac{C_0}{(\sum_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|)^{mn}} \omega\big(\frac{|y_i - y_i'|}{\sum_{j=1}^m |x - y_j|}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

whenever $|y_i - y_i'| \le \frac{1}{2}\max_{1\le j\le m}|x - y_j|$.

Definition 1.3 (**Multilinear C-Z singular integral operators**, [16, 17])**.** Let $K(x,\vec{y})$ be a C-Z kernel of ω type. For any $\vec{f} = (f_1,\cdots,f_m) \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\cdots\times\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $x \notin \cap_{j=1}^m \operatorname{supp} f_j$, we define the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators as follows:

$$T(\vec{f})(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} K(x,y_1,\cdots,y_m)f_1(y_1),\cdots,f_m(y_m)dy_1\cdots dy_m.$$

Definition 1.4 (**Commutators of Multilinear C-Z operators**)**.** Let $b_j \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and T be the operator defined in Definition 1.3. The commutators in the j-th entry and the iterated commutators of T are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m T^j_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m [b_j(x)T(f_1,\cdots,f_j,\cdots,f_m)(x) - T(f_1,\cdots,b_jf_j,\cdots,f_m)(x)] \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Pi b}(\vec{f}) &= [b_1,[b_2,\cdots[b_{m-1},[b_m,T]_m,]_{m-1}\cdots]_2]_1(\vec{f}) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m}\prod_{j=1}^m \big(b_j(x)-b_j(y_j)\big)K(x,y_1,\cdots,y_m)f_1(y_1)\cdots f_m(y_m)d\vec{y}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Remark 1.5. Obviously, in the special case, $\omega(t) = t^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then the operator T defined in Definition 1.3 coincides with the standard multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator defined and studied by Grafakos and Torres [9]. Moreover, if $\omega(t) = t^\varepsilon$, the weighted strong and $L(\log L)$ type endpoint estimates for $T_{\vec{b}}$ and $T_{\Pi b}$ have already been studied in [12] and [20], respectively.

Definition 1.6 (**Dini**(a) **type conditions**)**.** Let $\omega(t)$ be a non-negative and non-decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}^+$. ω is said to satisfy the Dini(a) condition if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega^a(t)}{t}dt < \infty.$$

ω is said to satisfy the log-Dini(a) condition if the following inequality holds:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega^a(t)}{t}\left(1+\log\frac{1}{t}\right)dt < \infty. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.7. It's easy to see that the log-Dini(a) condition is more stronger than the Dini(a) condition and if $0 < a_1 < a_2$, then Dini$(a_1) \subset$ Dini(a_2).

In 2009, Maldonado and Naibo [17] showed that, when ω is concave and $\omega \in$ Dini$(1/2)$, the bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator of ω type is bounded from $L^1\times L^1$ to $L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}$. In 2014, Lu and Zhang [16] improved the results in [17] by removing the hypothesis that ω is concave and reduce the condition $\omega \in$ Dini$(1/2)$ to a weaker condition $\omega \in$ Dini(1). Lu and Zhang [16] also extended the weighted strong and

$L(\log L)$ type endpoint estimates to the commutators defined in (1.4) whenever ω satisfies the log-Dini(1) condition, which is stronger than Dini(1) condition but it is much weaker than the standard kernel $\omega(t) = t^{\varepsilon}$. More previous works on the commutators of multilinear operators with $\omega(t) = t^{\varepsilon}$ can be found in [20], [21], [23], [24] and [25]).

1.3. **Main results.** This paper is concerned with the sharp endpoint estimates for both the commutator in the j-th entry defined in (1.4) and iterated commutators defined in (1.5) with a C-Z kernel of ω type. We show that they are bounded from product Hardy space $H^1 \times \cdots \times H^1$ to weak $L^{\frac{1}{m},\infty}$ space, whenever the kernel satisfies a class of Dini type condition. However, the proof is very difficult and complicated. In particular, in the case of iterated commutators, sometimes, we need to control six summations and three integrals at the same time even for $m = 2$. We formulate our main results as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let T be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with a C-Z kernel of ω type and $T_{\vec{b}}$ be the commutators of the j-th entries defined in* (1.4) *with $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. If $\omega(t)$ satisfies the* log-*Dini*(1) *condition, then there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that the following inequality holds*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq C_{\|\vec{b}\|_{BMO^m}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{1.7}$$

With a more stronger condition assumed on the function $\omega(t)$ than in Theorem 1.1, but weaker condition than the standard kernel $\omega(t) = t^{\varepsilon}$, we obtain the following theorem for the iterated commutators.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\omega(t)$ be a doubling function, satisfying the* log-*Dini*$(1/2m)$ *condition, that is,*

$$\int_0^1 \omega(t)^{\frac{1}{2m}} t^{-1} \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{t}\right) dt < \infty.$$

Let T be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with a C-Z kernel of ω type and $T_{\Pi b}$ be the iterated commutators defined in (1.5) *with $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that the following inequality holds*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\Pi b}(\vec{f})(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq C_{\|\vec{b}\|_{BMO^m}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{1.8}$$

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given. Section 3 will be devoted to give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Proofs of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following key lemma given by Chirst [3], which provides a foundation for our analysis.

Lemma 2.1. *([3]) For any $\alpha > 0$ and any finite collection of dyadic cubes Q and associated positive scalars λ_Q, there exists a collection of pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes S such that*

(1) $\sum\limits_{Q\subset S} \lambda_Q \le 2^n\alpha|S|$, *for all S;*
(2) $\sum |S| \le \alpha^{-1}\sum \lambda_Q$;
(3) $\|\sum\limits_{Q\nsubseteq \text{ any } S} \lambda_Q|Q|^{-1}\chi_Q\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \alpha$.

Proof of Thoerem 1.1. For simplicity, we only consider the case for $m = 2$, because there is no essential difference for the general case.

Since $T_{\vec{b}}$ is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [16], and finite sums of atoms are dense in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we will work with such sums and will obtain desired estimates which is independent of the number of terms in each sum. Thus, for any given $f_j \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(j = 1, 2)$, we may assume that $f_j = \sum_{k_j} \lambda_{k_j} a_{k_j}$ is a finite sum of H^1-atoms, where each a_{k_j} is a $(1, \infty, 0)$ atom, with $\sum_{k_j} |\lambda_{k_j}| \le C\|f_j\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Set $C_1 = \|T_{\vec{b}}\|_{L^2\times L^2\to L^{1,\infty}}$ and $C_2 = \|T\|_{L^1\times L^1\to L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}}$. By linearity, it is sufficient to consider the commutator of T with only one symbol, that is, for $\vec{b} = b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we will consider the operator

$$T_b(f_1, f_2)(x) = b(x)T(f_1, f_2)(x) - T(bf_1, f_2)(x).$$

To prove inequality (1.7), without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|f_j\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. For fix $\lambda > 0$, we only need to show that there is a constant $C > 0$, independent on the variables and $f_j (j = 1, 2)$, such that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_b(f_1, f_2)(x)| > \lambda\}| \le C(C_0 + C_1 + C_2)^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let γ be a positive number to be determined later. For the finite collection of dyadic cubes Q_{j,k_j}, which associated with the positive scalars $\lambda_{Q_{j,k_j}}$ in the given atomic decomposition of f_j. Now, we take $\alpha = (\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}$ in lemma 2.1. Then, there exists a collection of pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes S_{j,l_j}, such that

$$\text{(I)} \quad \sum_{Q_{j,k_j}\subset S_{j,l_j}} \lambda_{Q_{j,k_j}} \le 2^n(\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}|S_{j,l_j}|, \quad \text{for all} \quad S_{j,l_j};$$

$$\text{(II)} \quad \sum_{S_{j,l_j}} |S_{j,l_j}| \le (\gamma\lambda)^{-1/2} \sum_{Q_{j,k_j}\subset S_{j,l_j}} \lambda_{Q_{j,k_j}};$$

$$\text{(III)} \quad \|\sum_{Q_{j,k_j}\nsubseteq \text{ any } S_{j,l_j}} \lambda_{Q_{j,k_j}}|Q_{j,k_j}|^{-1}\chi_{Q_{j,k_j}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le (\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}.$$

Denote $S^*_{j,l_j} = 8\sqrt{n}S_{j,l_j}$, $S^*_j = \cup_{l_j} S^*_{j,l_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $S^* = \cup_{j=1}^2 S^*_j$. Set

$$h_j = \sum_{S_{j,l_j}} \sum_{Q_{j,k_j}\subset S_{j,l_j}} \lambda_{Q_{j,k_j}} a_{Q_{j,k_j}} \quad \text{and} \quad g_j(x) = f_j(x) - h_j(x).$$

By the definition of g_j and h_j, (III) and the properties of $(1,\infty,0)$ atoms, we have

$$\|g_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le (\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}; \quad \|g_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \sum_{Q_{j,k_j}\nsubseteq \text{any} S_{j,l_j}} |\lambda_{Q_{j,k_j}}| \le \sum_{k_j} |\lambda_{k_j}| \le C\|f_j\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)};$$

$$\|h_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \sum_{S_{j,l_j}} \sum_{Q_{j,k_j}\subset S_{j,l_j}} |\lambda_{Q_{j,k_j}}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |a_{Q_{j,k_j}}|dx \le \sum_{k_j} |\lambda_{k_j}| \le C\|f_j\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, we introduce some more notations as follows:

$$E_1 = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |T_b(g_1,g_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\}; \quad E_2 = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^* : |T_b(g_1,h_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\};$$
$$E_3 = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^* : |T_b(h_1,g_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\}; \quad E_4 = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^* : |T_b(h_1,h_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\}.$$

By (II), it follows that

$$|S^*| \le \sum_{j=1}^{2} |S_j^*| \le \sum_{j=1}^{2}\sum_{S_{j,l_j}} |S_{j,l_j}^*| \le C(\gamma\lambda)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{Q_{j,l_j}\subset S_{j,l_j}} \lambda_{Q_{j,l_j}} \le C(\gamma\lambda)^{-1/2}. \tag{2.2}$$

From the $L^2\times L^2 \to L^{1,\infty}$ boundedness of $T_{\vec{b}}$, the Chebyshev inequality and $\|g_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le (\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}$, one may obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1| &\le C_1\lambda^{-1}\|g_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|g_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_1\lambda^{-1}(\gamma\lambda)^{\frac12}\|g_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac12}\|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac12} \\ &\le CC_1\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-1}\|f_1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac12}\|f_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac12} = CC_1\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |T_b(\vec{f})(x)| > \lambda\}| &\le \sum_{s=1}^{4} |E_s| + C|S^*| \\ &\le \sum_{s=2}^{4} |E_s| + C(\gamma\lambda)^{-1/2} + CC_1\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Hence, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, we only need to consider the contributions of each $|E_s|$ for $2\le s\le 4$, separately.

• **Estimate for $|E_2|$.** By the definition of g_j and h_j, the moment condition of H^1-atoms, and employing the linearity of T_b, it now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &T_b(h_1,g_2)(x) \\ &= \sum_{S_{1,l_1}} \sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}} \lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}} \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2} \big(b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\big(K(x,y_1,y_2)-K(x,c_{1,k_1},y_2)\big) \\ &\quad \times a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)g_2(y_2)d\vec{y} \\ &\quad + \sum_{S_{1,l_1}} \sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}} \lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}} \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2} \big(b_{Q_{1,k_1}}-b(y_1)\big)K(x,y_1,y_2)a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)g_2(y_2)d\vec{y} \\ &=: I_{2,1}(x) + I_{2,2}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|E_2| &\le |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*:|I_{2,1}(x)|>\lambda/8\}|+|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*:|I_{2,2}(x)|>\lambda/8\}|\\ &:= |E_{2,1}|+|E_{2,2}|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, to show the contributions of E_2, it remains to discuss the contributions of $E_{2,1}$ and $E_{2,2}$, respectively.

To estimate $|E_{2,1}|$, we fix k_1 and denote $\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}=(2^{i+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1})\backslash(2^{i+1}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1})$, $i=1,2,\cdots$. Then, it is obvious that $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*\subset\mathbb{R}^n\backslash Q^*_{1,k_1}\subset\cup_{i=1}^\infty\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}$. Let c_{1,k_1} be the center of cube Q_{1,k_1}, $l_{Q_{1,k_1}}$ be the side length of cube Q_{1,k_1} Then, for any $y_1\in Q_{1,k_1}$ and $x\in\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}$, we have

$$|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|\le\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}l_{Q_{1,k_1}}\ \text{ and }\ |x-c_{1,k_1}|\ge 2^{i-1}\sqrt{n}l_{Q_{1,k_1}}. \tag{2.6}$$

By the Chebychev inequality and (1.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|E_{2,1}|\le\frac{8C_0}{\lambda}\|g_2\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\\ \times\frac{|a_{1,k_1}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*\subset\cup_{i=1}^\infty\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}$ and ω is nondecreasing, together with (2.6) and notice that $a_{1,k_1}\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx\\
&\le\sum_{i=1}^\infty\int_{\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\left(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|}\right)dy_1dy_2dx\\
&\le C\sum_{i=1}^\infty\omega(2^{-i})\int_{\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{|x-y_1|^n}dy_1dx\\
&\le C\sum_{i=1}^\infty\omega(2^{-i})\frac{1}{|2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}|}\int_{2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|dx\\
&\le C\sum_{i=1}^\infty i\omega(2^{-i})\|\vec{b}\|_*\le C.\end{aligned}$$

Putting the above estimate into (2.7) and noticing the fact that $\|g_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le(\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}$, we have

$$|E_{2,1}|\le\frac{CC_0}{\lambda}(\gamma\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Now, we are in the position to estimate $|E_{2,2}|$. The $L^1\times L^1\to L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}$ boundedness of T implies that

(2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_{2,2}| &\le CC_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\|\big(b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}\big)a_{Q_{1,k_1}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le CC_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\big(\frac{1}{|Q_{1,k_1}|}\int_{Q_{1,k_1}}|b(y_1)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|dy_1\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le CC_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\vec{b}\|_*^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le CC_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore in all, Combining (2.8) and the above estimate, we conclude that

$$|E_2|\le C(C_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}+C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

• **Estimate for $|E_3|$.** The contributions of E_3 are treated in the same way as we deal with $|E_2|$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_b(g_1,h_2)(x)\\
&=\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}\big(b(x)-b_{Q_{2,k_2}}\big)\big(K(x,y_1,y_2)-K(x,y_1,c_{2,k_2})\big)\\
&\quad\times g_1(y_1)a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)d\vec{y}\\
&\quad+\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}\big(b_{Q_{2,k_2}}-b(y_2)\big)K(x,y_1,y_2)g_1(y_1)a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)d\vec{y}\\
&=: I_{3,1}(x)+I_{3,2}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same steps as what we have done for $|E_2|$, we may obtain

$$|E_3|\le C(C_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}+C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

• **Estimate for $|E_4|$.** First, we split $T_b(h_1,h_2)$ in the form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_b(h_1,h_2)(x)\\
&=\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}\big(b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\big(K(x,y_1,y_2)-K(x,c_{1,k_1},y_2)\big)\\
&\quad\times\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)d\vec{y}\\
&\quad+\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}\big(b_{Q_{1,k_1}}-b(y_1)\big)K(x,y_1,y_2)\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)h_2(y_2)d\vec{y}\\
&=: I_{4,1}(x)+I_{4,2}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|E_4|\le|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*:|I_{4,1}(x)|>\lambda/8\}|+|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*:|I_{4,2}(x)|>\lambda/8\}|. \tag{2.10}$$

For fixed k_2, denote $\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2} = (2^{h+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{2,k_2})\backslash(2^{h+1}\sqrt{n}Q_{2,k_2})$, $h = 1, 2, \cdots$. Recall the definition of $\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}$, it is easy to check

$$(S^*)^c := \mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^* \subset \mathbb{R}^n\backslash(Q^*_{1,k_1}\bigcup Q^*_{1,k_2}) \subset \bigcup_{h=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}(\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\bigcap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}).$$

Therefore, one may obtain that

(2.11)
$$(S^*)^c = (S^*)^c\bigcap\Big(\bigcup_{h=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}(\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\bigcap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2})\Big) = \bigcup_{h=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\Big((S^*)^c\bigcap(\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\bigcap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2})\Big).$$

By the Chebychev inequality, (1.3) and (2.11), it follows that

(2.12)
$$\begin{aligned}&|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^* : |I_{4,1}(x)| > \lambda/8\}|\\ &\quad\le \frac{8C_0}{\lambda}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\\ &\qquad\times\frac{|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2.11), the integrals in the above summations can be controlled by:

(2.13)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\cap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\\ &\quad\times\frac{|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx\\ &\le\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\cap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\\ &\quad\times|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}dy_1dy_2dx.\end{aligned}$$

For fixed $x\in(S^*)^c$, and any $y_1, y_2\in S$, observe that

$$\inf_{y_1\in S}|x-y_1|\approx|x-y_1|,\qquad \inf_{y_2\in S}|x-y_2|\approx|x-y_2|.$$

This implies that

(2.14)
$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}} &= \frac{1}{(\inf\limits_{y_1\in S}|x-y_1|+\inf\limits_{y_2\in S}|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\\ &\approx\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{S_{j,l_j}\}_{l_j}$ are pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes, by (I) and (2.14), it now follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.15)\qquad &\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}dy_2\\
&=\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}|\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|dy_2\\
&\le C\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\Big(\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}|\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\Big)\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\\
&\le\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}2^n(\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}|S_{2,l_2}|\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\\
&\le C(\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\int_{S_{2,l_2}}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}dy_2\\
&\le C(\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}\frac{1}{|x-y_1|^n}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combing (2.12), (2.13) and (2.15), we obtain

(2.16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*:|I_{4,1}(x)|>\lambda/8\}|\\
&\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\cap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\\
&\quad\times\frac{|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{|x-y_1|^{2n}}dy_1dx\\
&\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})\int_{\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{|x-y_1|^{2n}}dy_1dx\\
&\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})\frac{1}{|2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}|}\int_{2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}}|b(x)-b_{Q_{1,k_1}}|dx\\
&\le CC_0\|\vec{b}\|_*\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})i\\
&\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate of $|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*:|I_{4,2}(x)|>\lambda/8\}|$ is similar to (2.9). In fact, we only need to replace g_2 by h_2 in (2.9), and note that $\|h_2\|_{L^1}\le C\|f_2\|_{H^1}$, we have

$$
(2.17)\qquad |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*:|I_{4,2}(x)|>\lambda/8\}|\le CC_2^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}.
$$

Putting (2.16) and (2.17) into (2.10), it yields that

$$|E_4| \le C(C_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$|E_s| \le C(C_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{for} \quad s = 2, 3, 4. \tag{2.18}$$

Set $\gamma = (C_0 + C_1 + C_2)^{-1}$, by (2.4) and (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_b(\vec{f})(x)| > \lambda\}| &\le \sum_{s=2}^{4} |E_s| + C(\gamma\lambda)^{-1/2} + CC_1\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le C(C_0 + C_1 + C_2)^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (2.1) is finished. Since we have reduced the proof of Theorem 1.1 to (2.1), the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. □

3. Proof of Thoerem 1.2

Proof of Thoerem 1.2. We will also only consider Theorem 1.2 for the case $m = 2$. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the following operator:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\pi b}(f_1, f_2)(x) &= [b_1, [b_2, T]_2,]_1(f_1, f_2) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \big(b_j(x) - b_j(y_j)\big) K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_j \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ with $\|f_j\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since $T_{\pi b}(f_1, f_2)(x)$ is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [20] for the case of the standard kernel $\omega(t) = t^{\varepsilon}$, and the C-Z kernel of ω type in [28]), we may set $C_1' = \|T_{\pi b}\|_{L^2\times L^2\to L^{1,\infty}}$. Recall $C_2 = \|T\|_{L^1\times L^1\to L^{\frac{1}{2},\infty}}$, following the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is also sufficient to show that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\pi b}(f_1, f_2)(x)| > \lambda\}| \le C(C_0 + C_1' + C_2)^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}. \tag{3.1}$$

The same decomposition for $f_j \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ as in Theorem 1.1 yields that

$$h_j = \sum_{S_{j,l_j}} \sum_{Q_{j,k_j}\subset S_{j,l_j}} \lambda_{Q_{j,k_j}} a_{Q_{j,k_j}}, \qquad f_j(x) = g_j(x) + h_j(x), \tag{3.2}$$

where g_j and h_j enjoy the same properties as in Theorem 1.1.

With abuse of notations, we may still set

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\pi b}(g_1, g_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\}; \\ E_2 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^* : |T_{\pi b}(g_1, h_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\}; \\ E_3 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^* : |T_{\pi b}(h_1, g_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\}; \\ E_4 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^* : |T_{\pi b}(h_1, h_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (2.2) still implies that

$$|S^*| \le C(\gamma\lambda)^{-1/2}.$$

Note that $C_1' = \|T_{\pi b}\|_{L^2\times L^2\to L^{1,\infty}}$, repeating the arguments as in the estimates of (2.3), we may obtain

$$|E_1| \le CC_1'\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\pi b}(\vec{f})(x)| > \lambda\}| &\le \sum_{s=1}^{4}|E_s| + C|S^*| \\ &\le \sum_{s=2}^{4}|E_s| + C(\gamma\lambda)^{-1/2} + CC_1\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, to show Theorem 1.2 is true, we only have to show that

$$|E_s| \le C(C_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \text{for} \quad s = 2,3,4. \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, let $\gamma = (C_0 + C_1' + C_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, it's easy to check that the inequality (3.1) is true.
• **Estimate for $|E_2|$.** Employing the linearity of $T_{\pi b}$ and the atomic decomposition of h_1, we may decompose $T_{\pi b}(h_1, g_2)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}&T_{\pi b}(h_1,g_2)(x)\\
&= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\big(b_j(x)-b_j(y_j)\big)K(x,y_1,y_2)h_1(y_1)g_2(y_2)dy_1dy_2\\
&= \sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\big(b_1(x)b_2(x)T(a_{Q_{1,k_1}},g_2)(x) - b_2(x)T(b_1a_{Q_{1,k_1}},g_2)(x)\\
&\quad - b_1(x)T(a_{Q_{1,k_1}},b_2g_2)(x) + T(b_1a_{Q_{1,k_1}},b_2g_2)(x)\big)\\
&= \sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\big(b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\big(b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)T(a_{Q_{1,k_1}},g_2)(x)\\
&\quad - \sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\big(b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)T((b_1-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}})a_{Q_{1,k_1}},g_2)(x)\\
&\quad - \sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\big(b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)T(a_{Q_{1,k_1}},(b_2-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}})g_2)(x)\\
&\quad + \sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}T((b_1-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}})a_{Q_{1,k_1}},(b_2-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}})g_2)(x)\\
&=: I_{2,1}(x)+I_{2,2}(x)+I_{2,3}(x)+I_{2,4}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the contributions of E_2 can be divide into four parts.

$$\begin{aligned}|E_2| &= |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\pi b}(g_1,h_2)(x)| > \lambda/4\}|\\
&\le |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |I_{2,1}(x)| > \lambda/16\}| + |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |I_{2,2}(x)| > \lambda/16\}|\\
&\quad + |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |I_{2,3}(x)| > \lambda/16\}| + |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : |I_{2,4}(x)| > \lambda/16\}|\\
&=: |E_{2,1}| + |E_{2,2}| + |E_{2,3}| + |E_{2,4}|.\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $I_{2,1}$ and the moment condition of H^1-atoms, we have

$$I_{2,1}(x)=\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\big(b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\big(b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)$$
$$\times\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}\big(K(x,y_1,y_2)-K(x,c_{1,k_1},y_2)\big)a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)g_2(y_2)dy_1dy_2.$$

Putting the above identity into the definition of $|E_{2,1}|$ and note that $\|g_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le(\gamma\lambda)^{1/2}$, $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash S^*\subset\cup_{i=1}^\infty\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}$, together with the Chebyshev inequality and condition (1.3), we have

(3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}|E_{2,1}|&\le\frac{16}{\lambda}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\int_{(S^*)^c}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}||b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\&\quad\times|K(x,y_1,y_2)-K(x,c_{1,k_1},y_2)||a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||g_2(y_2)|dy_1dy_2dx\\&\le CC_0\lambda^{1/2}\gamma^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^\infty\int_{\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\&\quad\times|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{1,k_1}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\Big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\Big)dy_1dy_2dx.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.6) and the non-decreasing property of ω, we have

(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}|E_{2,1}|&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^\infty\int_{\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\&\quad\times|(b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{1,k_1}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega(2^{-i})dy_1dy_2dx\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^\infty\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\&\quad\times|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{1,k_1}(y_1)|}{|x-y_1|^n}\omega(2^{-i})dy_1dx\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^\infty\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\&\quad\times|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{1,k_1}(y_1)|}{|2^{i+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1}|}\omega(2^{-i})dy_1dx\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^\infty\omega(2^{-i})\frac{1}{|2^{i+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1}|}\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \int_{\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}} |b_1(x) - b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}||b_2(x) - b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|dx.$$

By the Hölder inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|2^{i+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1}|}\int_{\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}} |b_1(x) - b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}||b_2(x) - b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|dx \\
&\le \left(\frac{1}{|2^{i+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1}|}\int_{2^{i+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1}} |b_1(x) - b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|^2dx\right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{|2^{i+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1}|}\int_{2^{i+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1}} |b_2(x) - b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|^2dx\right)^{1/2} \\
&\le Ci\|b\|_*.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Combing (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$|E_{2,1}| \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}} |\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})i \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}.$$

Now we begin to estimate $|E_{2,2}|$.

Similarly as we deal $|E_{2,1}|$, and together with the size condition of H^1-atoms, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_{2,2}| &\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}} |\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2} |b_1(y_1) - b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}| \\
&\quad \times |b_2(x) - b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx \\
&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}} |\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b_1(y_1) - b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}| \\
&\quad \times |b_2(x) - b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|)^n|Q_{1,k_1}|}\omega(2^{-i})dy_1dx \\
&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\|b_1\|_*\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}} |\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})\frac{1}{(|2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}|)^n} \\
&\quad \times \int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}} |b_2(x) - b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|dx \\
&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\|b_1\|_*\|b_2\|_*\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}} |\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})i \\
&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $|E_{2,3}|$ is more complicated, and we need to split the domain of variable y_2. First, similarly as we deal with $|E_{2,1}|$ in (3.4) and (3.5), we may get

$$|E_{2,3}| \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx.$$

Denote $\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}=(2^{h+2}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1})\backslash(2^{h+1}\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1})$ and recall that $Q^*_{1,k_1}=4\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1}$, then

$$y_2\in\mathbb{R}^n\subset\big(\cup_{h=1}^{\infty}\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}\big)\cup Q^*_{1,k_1}.$$

Thus $|E_{2,3}|$ can be controlled by

$$CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx$$
$$+CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{Q^*_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx$$
$$=:|E^1_{2,3}|+|E^2_{2,3}|.$$

For any $h\in\mathbb{N}$, if $y_2\in\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}$, note that $y_1\in Q_{1,k_1}$, then

$$|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|\ge|y_1-y_2|\sim|y_2-c_{1,k_1}|\sim l_{2^{h+2}Q_{1,k_1}}.$$

On the other hand, for any $i\in\mathbb{N}$, if $x\in\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}$ and $y_1\in Q_{1,k_1}$, then

$$|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|\ge|x-y_1|\sim l_{2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}}. \tag{3.7}$$

By the geometric properties of y_1, y_2, x above, we may obtain

(3.8)

$$|E^1_{2,3}|$$
$$\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx$$
$$\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$

$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{|2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}||2^{h+2}Q_{1,k_1}|}\omega(2^{-i})^{1/2}\omega(2^{-h})^{1/2}dy_1dy_2dx.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-h})^{1/2}\int_{\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}}\frac{|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|}{|2^{h+2}Q_{1,k_1}|}dy_2\le C\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-h})^{1/2}h\|b_2\|_*\le C. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $a(y_1)\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, putting the above estimate into (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|E^1_{2,3}|&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})^{1/2}\int_{2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}}\frac{|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|}{|2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}|}dx\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})^{1/2}i\|b_1\|_*\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

If $y_2\in Q^*_{1,k_1}$, note that $x\in(8\sqrt{n}Q_{1,k_1})^c$, then

$$|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|\ge|x-y_2|\ge Cl_{Q_{1,k_1}}.$$

By the definition of $|E^2_{2,3}|$ and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|E^2_{2,3}|&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{Q^*_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\&\quad\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{|2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}||Q^*_{1,k_1}|}\omega(2^{-i})dy_1dy_2dx\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\&\quad\times\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{|2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}|}\omega(2^{-i})dy_1dx\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})\frac{1}{|2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}|}\\&\quad\times\int_{2^{i+2}Q_{1,k_1}}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|dx\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})i\|b_1\|_*\\&\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have shown that

$$|E_{2,3}|\le|E^1_{2,3}|+|E^2_{2,3}|\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}.$$

Now we begin to consider $|E_{2,4}|$. Similarly,

$$|E_{2,4}| \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_1(y_1)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx$$

Repeating the same steps as in the estimate of $|E_{2,3}|$, we have

$$|E_{2,4}| \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\cup_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(y_1)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx$$
$$+CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{Q^*_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(y_1)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx$$
$$=: |E^1_{2,4}|+|E^2_{2,4}|.$$

By the definition of $|E^1_{2,4}|$, one may obtain

$$|E^1_{2,4}| \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathscr{R}^h_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|}{|x-y_1|^n|2^{h+2}Q_{1,k_1}|}\omega\left(\frac{y_1-c_{1,k_1}}{|x-y_1|}\right)^{1/2}\omega(2^{-h})^{1/2}dy_1dy_2dx.$$

By (3.9), and integral for x firstly, we have

$$|E^1_{2,4}| \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{Q_{1,k_1}}\frac{|b_1(y_1)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|}{|Q_{1,k_1}||x-y_1|^n}$$
$$\times\omega\left(\frac{y_1-c_{1,k_1}}{|x-y_1|}\right)^{1/2}dy_1dx$$
$$\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\int_{Q_{1,k_1}}\frac{|b_1(y_1)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|}{|Q_{1,k_1}|}dy_1$$
$$\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\|b_1\|_*$$
$$\le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}.$$

The estimate for $|E^2_{2,4}|$ is quite similar to $|E^2_{2,3}|$, we may get $|E^2_{2,4}| \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}$.

• **Estimate for $|E_3|$.** Since $|E_3|$ is a symmetrical case of $|E_2|$, then

$$|E_3| \le CC_0\gamma^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}.$$

• **Estimate for $|E_4|$.**

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\Pi b}(h_1,h_2) &= [b_1,[b_2,T]_2,]_1(h_1,h_2)\\
&= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\big(b_j(x)-b_j(y_j)\big)K(x,y_1,y_2)h_1(y_1)h_2(y_2)dy_1dy_2\\
&= \sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}\big(b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\big(b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\\
&\quad\times T(a_{Q_{1,k_1}},a_{Q_{2,k_2}})(x)\\
&\quad-\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}\big(b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\\
&\quad\times T((b_1-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}})a_{Q_{1,k_1}},a_{Q_{2,k_2}})(x)\\
&\quad-\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}\big(b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\\
&\quad\times T(a_{Q_{1,k_1}},(b_2-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}})a_{Q_{2,k_2}})(x)\\
&\quad+\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}\\
&\quad\times T((b_1-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}})a_{Q_{1,k_1}},(b_2-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}})a_{Q_{2,k_2}})(x)\\
&=: I_{4,1}(x)+I_{4,2}(x)+I_{4,3}(x)+I_{4,4}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_4| &= |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n/S^*:|T_{\pi b}(h_1,h_2)(x)|>\lambda/4\}|\\
&\le |\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n/S^*:|I_{4,1}(x)|>\lambda/16\}|+|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n/S^*:|I_{4,2}(x)|>\lambda/16\}|\\
&\quad+|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n/S^*:|I_{4,3}(x)|>\lambda/16\}|+|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n/S^*:|I_{4,4}(x)|>\lambda/16\}|\\
&=: |E_{4,1}|+|E_{4,2}|+|E_{4,3}|+|E_{4,4}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we begin to consider $|E_{4,1}|$. By the definition of $I_{4,1}(x)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{4,1}(x)| \le \sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\bigg|\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}\big(b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)\\
\times\big(b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}\big)K(x,y_1,y_2)a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)dy_1dy_2\bigg|.
\end{aligned}$$

Fix for a moment k_1, k_2 and assume, without loss of generality, that $l(Q_{1,k_1})\le l(Q_{2,k_2})$. By the moment condition of H^1-atoms and the regularity condition (1.3) of

the kernel K, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,y_1,y_2)a_{1,k_1}(y_1)dy_1\Big| = \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(K(x,y_1,y_2)-K(x,c_{1,k_1},y_2)\big)a_{1,k_1}(y_1)dy_1\Big|\\ &\le \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{C_0}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)dy_1\Big|.\end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition of $\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}$, $\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}$, and note that $y_1\in Q_{1,k_1}$, $y_2\in Q_{2,k_2}$, it's obvious that, for any fixed i,h,k_1,k_2, if $x\in (S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\cap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}$, then we have

$$|x-y_1|\sim 2^i l_{Q_{1,k_1}},\quad |x-y_2|\sim 2^h l_{Q_{2,k_2}}.$$

This and the nondecreasing property of ω give

$$\frac{\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac12}}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\le\frac{\omega\big(\frac{l_{Q_{1,k_1}}}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac12}}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\lesssim\prod_{i=1}^2\frac{\omega\big(\frac{l_{Q_{i,k_i}}}{|x-y_i|}\big)^{\frac14}}{|x-y_i|^{\frac n2}}\lesssim\frac{\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac14}\omega(2^{-h})^{\frac14}}{(2^i l_{Q_{1,k_1}}2^h l_{Q_{2,k_2}})^{\frac n2}}.$$

By (2.11), Chebychev inequality and the estimate above, we control $|E_{4,1}|$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(3.10)\quad &\frac{CC_0}{\lambda}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{i=1}^\infty\sum_{h=1}^\infty|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\cap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}}\\ &\times\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}||b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\\ &\times\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx\\ &\le\frac{CC_0}{\lambda}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{i=1}^\infty\sum_{h=1}^\infty\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac14}\omega(2^{-h})^{\frac14}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\\ &\times\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\cap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}}\frac{|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|}{(2^i l_{Q_{1,k_1}}2^h l_{Q_{2,k_2}})^{\frac n2}}\times\bigg(\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\ &\times\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\bigg(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\bigg)^{\frac12}dy_1dy_2\bigg)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Let's first consider the inside integrals, by the Hölder inequality, we may have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.11)\quad &\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}\cap\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}}\frac{|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|}{(2^i l_{Q_{1,k_1}}2^h l_{Q_{2,k_2}})^{\frac n2}}\times\bigg(\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\\ &\times\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac12}dy_1dy_2\bigg)dx\\ &\le\bigg(\frac{1}{(2^h l_{Q_{2,k_2}})^n}\int_{\mathscr{R}^h_{2,k_2}}|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}|^2dx\bigg)^{\frac12}\\ &\times\bigg(\frac{1}{(2^i l_{Q_{1,k_1}})^n}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\bigg|\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\end{aligned}$$

$$\times\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}dy_1dy_2\Big|^2dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Note that $a_{2,k_2}(y_2)\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, similar argument as in (2.15) yields that

$$(3.11)\le h^{\frac{1}{2}}\|b_2\|_*^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[\frac{1}{(2^il_{Q_{1,k_1}})^n}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\Big(\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|dy_1\Big|^2dx\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that the integrals in the above inequality are independent of S_{2,l_2} and Q_{2,k_2} and ω is doubling, similarly as what we have done with (2.14), for fixed $x\in(S^*)^c$ and any $y_1,y_2\in S$, we have

$$(3.12)\qquad \sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\Big(\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)$$
$$\approx\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Recalling (I) in Theorem 1.1 and putting the inequality above into (3.10), we may get

$$|E_{4,1}|\le\frac{CC_0}{\lambda}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac{1}{4}}\omega(2^{-h})^{\frac{1}{4}}h^{\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\Big(\frac{1}{(2^il_{Q_{1,k_1}})^n}$$
$$\times\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\big(\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}|\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\big)$$
$$\times\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\Big(\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|dy_1\Big|^2dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac{1}{4}}\omega(2^{-h})^{\frac{1}{4}}h^{\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\Big(\frac{1}{(2^il_{Q_{1,k_1}})^n}$$
$$\times\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\Big(\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\int_{S_{2,l_2}}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}$$
$$\times\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}dy_2\Big)|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|dy_1\Big|^2dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac{1}{4}}\omega(2^{-h})^{\frac{1}{4}}h^{\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|$$
$$\times\Big(\frac{1}{(2^il_{Q_{1,k_1}})^n}\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}|b_2(x)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|^2\big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|dy_1\big)^2dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac14}\omega(2^{-h})^{\frac14}h^{\frac12}i^{\frac12}$$
$$\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac12}\lambda^{-\frac12}.$$

Now we begin with the estimate for $|E_{4,2}|$.

Recalling the definition of $I_{4,2}(x)$, the moment condition of H^1-atoms and smoothness condition (1.3). Similar to the estimates in (3.10), we may obtain

(3.13)
$$|E_{4,2}| \le \frac{CC_0}{\lambda}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}||\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}$$
$$|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}||b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|}{|x-y_1|^n}$$
$$\times\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_1dy_2dx.$$

First, we consider the following summation.

(3.14)
$$\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|\frac{|\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}||a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)dy_2.$$

Property (I) in Theorem 1.1, inequality (3.12), and size condition of H^1-atoms, that is, $\|a_{Q_{2,k_2}}\|_{L^\infty}\le|Q_{2,k_2}|^{-1}$, together with the Hölder inequality, enable us to obtain

$$(3.14)\le\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}|\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|b_2(y_2)-b_{2,Q_{1,k_1}}|^2|a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|dy_2\bigg)^{\frac12}$$
$$\times\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^2|a_{Q_{2,k_2}}(y_2)|dy_2\bigg)^{\frac12}$$
$$\le\omega(2^{-i})\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\sum_{Q_{2,k_2}\subset S_{2,l_2}}|\lambda_{Q_{2,k_2}}|\|b_2\|_*^{\frac12}\sup_{y_1,y_2\in S}\bigg(\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}$$
$$\times\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac12}\bigg)$$
$$\le C(\gamma\lambda)^{\frac12}\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac12}\sum_{S_{2,l_2}}\int_{S_{2,l_2}}\frac{1}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^n}\omega\big(\frac{|y_1-c_{1,k_1}|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\big)^{\frac12}dy_2$$
$$\le C(\gamma\lambda)^{\frac12}\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac12}.$$

Therefore, by (3.13) and note that $a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_2) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|E_{4,2}| &\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\int_{(S^*)^c\cap\mathscr{R}^i_{1,k_1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{|x-y_1|^2}\\ &\quad\times|b_1(x)-b_{1,Q_{1,k_1}}||a_{Q_{1,k_1}}(y_1)|dy_1dx\\ &\le CC_0\|b_1\|_*\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{S_{1,l_1}}\sum_{Q_{1,k_1}\subset S_{1,l_1}}|\lambda_{Q_{1,k_1}}|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-i})^{\frac{1}{2}}i^{\frac{1}{2}}\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $|E_{4,3}|$ is a symmetrical case of $|E_{4,2}|$ we may also obtain

$$|E_{4,3}|\le CC_0\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similar argument still works as in (2.9), we may have

$$|E_{4,4}|\le CC_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This completes the estimate for $|E_4|$. Thus, we have proved inequality (3.3) and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished. □

References

[1] J. Alvarez, *Continuity properties for linear commutators of Calderon-Zygmund operators*, Collect. Math., **48** (1998), 1037-1055.

[2] X. Chen, Q. Xue, K. Yabuta, *On multilinear Littlewood-Paley operators*, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: TMA., **115** (2015), 25-40.

[3] M. Christ, *Weak type* $(1,1)$ *bounds for rough operators*, Ann. of Math., **128** (1988), 19-42.

[4] M. Christ and J. L. Journé, *Polynomial growth estimates for multilinear singular integral operators*, Acta Math., **159** (1987), 51-80.

[5] R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, *On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **212** (1975), 315-331.

[6] R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, *Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires*, Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble)., **28** (1978), 177-202.

[7] R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, *Au-delà des Opérateurs Pseudo-Différentiels*, Asterisque. **57** (1978), 1-185.

[8] R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math., **103** (1976), 611-635.

[9] L. Grafakos, R. H. Torres, *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund Theory*, Adv. Math., **165** (2002), 124-164.

[10] L. Grafakos, R. H. Torres, *Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **51** (2002), 1261-1276.

[11] C. E. Kenig, E. M. Stein, *Multilinear estimates and fractional integration*, Math. Res. Lett., **6** (1999), 1-15.

[12] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres, R. Trujillo-González,*New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. math., **220** (2009), 1222-1264.

[13] Y. Liang, D. Ky and D. Yang, *Weighted Endpoint Estimates for Commutators of Calderon-Zygmund Operators*, (2015), available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1510.05855.pdf.

[14] Z. Liu, S. Lu, *Endpoint estimates for commutators of Calderón-Zygmund type operators*, Kodai Math. J., **25** (2002), 79-88.

[15] S. Lu, *Four lectures on real* H^p *spaces,* World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1995.

[16] G. Lu, P. Zhang, *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator with kernels of Dini's type and applications*, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: TMA., **107)** (2014), 92-117.
[17] D. Maldonado, V. Naibo, *Weighted Norm Inequalities for Paraproducts and Bilinear Pseudodifferential Operators with Mild Regularity*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **15** (2009), 218-261.
[18] M. Paluszyński, *Characterization of Lipschitz spaces via commutator of Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss; a multiplier theorem for the semigroup of contractions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Washington University, (1992).
[19] C. Pérez, *Endpont estimates for commutators of singular integral operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **128** (1995), 163-185.
[20] C. Pérez, G. Pradolini, R. H. Torres and R. Trujillo-Gonzlez, *Endpont estimates for iterated commutators of multilinear singular integral*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., **46** (2014), no. 1, 26-42.
[21] C. Pérez, *R. Trujillo-Gonzlez, Sharp weighted estimates for multilinear commutators*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., **65** (2002), 672-692.
[22] S.Shi, Q. Xue, K. Yabuta. *On the boundedness of multilinear Littlewood-Paley g_λ^* function*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **101** (2014), 397-413.
[23] Z. Si, Q. Xue, *Weighed inequalities for commutators of vector-valued maximal multilinear operators*, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: TMA., **96** (2014), 96-108.
[24] L. Tang, *Weighed estimates for vector-valued commutators of multilinear operators*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A., **138** (2008), 897-922.
[25] Q. Xue, *Weighed estimates for the iterated commutators of multilinear maximal and fractonal type operators*, Studia. Math., **217** (2013), 97-122.
[26] Q. Xue, X. Peng, K. Yabuta. *On the theory of multilinear Littlewood-Paley g-function*, J. Math. Soc. Japan., **67** (2015), 535-559.
[27] Q. Xue, J. Yan, *On multilinear square function and its applications to multilinear Littlewood-Paley operators with non-convolution type kernels*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **422** (2015), 535-559.
[28] J. Yan, *Multilinear vector-valued singular integral operators and square operators*, Ph.D Thesis, Beijing Normal University, (2015).

Zhengyang Li, School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: zhengyli@mail.bnu.edu.cn

Qingying Xue, School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn